UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/761,746	01/21/2004	Anders Valbjoern	6495-0046	3649
Richard R. Mic	7590 02/25/200 haud	EXAMINER		
McCormick, Pa CityPlace II	ulding & Huber LLP	CECIL, TERRY K		
185 Asylum Str	reet	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Hartford, CT 06	5103	1797		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s) VALBJOERN ET AL.				
		10/761,74	6					
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Mr. Terry k	(. Cecil	1797				
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication a Reply	ppears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
WHICH - Extension after SI - If NO point - Failure I Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING In soft ime may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 15 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuty received by the Office later than three months after the mail patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF TH 1.136(a). In no eve d will apply and wil ute, cause the appli	IS COMMUNICATION nt, however, may a reply be tim expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status								
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed on 11-	.29-2007						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			n-final					
′=	/							
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	ո of Claims	•						
· _		nn.						
· —	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
•	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
·	laim(s) is/are objected to.	r alastian rag	uiromont					
0) <u> </u>	laim(s) <u>1-17</u> are subject to restriction and/o	ir election req	ullement.					
Application	n Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Α	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice of 3) Informa) of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) lo(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite				

Art Unit: 1797

This restriction requirement is being sent in order to (i) correct an error in the previous restriction requirement; (ii) point out an error in the claim dependency; and (iii) recommend changes to advance prosecution.

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentally distinct species:
 - Species I, claims 1-8, 11, 13-14 and 16-17 drawn to a water purifier having a pressure control device including a control valve responsive to pressure on both the primary and secondary sides.
 - Species II, claims 9-10, 12 and 15 a water purifier having a pressure control device that is responsive to the quality of water to be purified.
- (i) Claim 12 should have been grouped with Species II; (ii) given the terms used in claim 17, it's clear that applicant intended for claim 17 to depend from claim 16 rather than claim 15—in the response to this requirement, Applicant should amend the dependency of claim 17, otherwise claim 17 will not be searched if applicant re-elects Species I.
- 2. The species are independent or distinct because the respective species have a materially different design and mode of operation and function; (2) have mutually exclusive characteristics; and (3) there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.

Art Unit: 1797

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

3. A call was made to the office of Marina Cunningham on 10-29-2007 but did not result in an election being made. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify

Art Unit: 1797

such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Examiner Suggestions

- 4. The Examiner recommends that in a response to this requirement applicant should
 - (i) re-elect Species I and cancel non-elected Species II;
 - (ii) amend claim 17 to depend from claim 16; and
- (iii) add the following to claim 1: "said control valve being arranged in a connection line between an outlet of the fluid pump and the primary side of the diaphragm unit."

Art Unit: 1797

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mr. Terry K. Cecil whose telephone number is (571) 272-1138. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00a-4:30p M-F..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Sample can be reached on (571) 272-1376. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Mr. Terry K. Cecil/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797

TKC